2023 Annual Implementation Plan

for improving student outcomes

Mossfiel Primary School (5002)



Submitted for review by Paul Maisey (School Principal) on 23 January, 2023 at 11:43 AM Endorsed by Sandra Eglezos (Senior Education Improvement Leader) on 04 April, 2023 at 07:03 PM Endorsed by Mistie Foley (School Council President) on 13 April, 2023 at 04:52 PM

Self-evaluation summary - 2023

	FISO 2.0 dimensions	Self-evaluation level
Leadership	The strategic direction and deployment of resources to create and reflect shared goals and values; high expectations; and a positive, safe and orderly learning environment	Evolving
	Shared development of a culture of respect and collaboration with positive and supportive relationships between students and staff at the core	- Evolving
Teaching and learning	Documented teaching and learning program based on the Victorian Curriculum and senior secondary pathways, incorporating extracurricula programs	
	Use of common and subject-specific high impact teaching and learning strategies as part of a shared and responsive teaching and learning model implemented through positive and supportive student-staff relationships	Evolving

Assessment	development, and imple classrooms. Systematic use of asset	and evidence to drive the prioritisation, ementation of actions in schools and essment strategies and measurement practices edback on student learning growth, attainment es	- Evolving
Engagement	Engagement Strong relationships and active partnerships between schools and families/carers, communities, and organisations to strengthen students' participation and engagement in school Activation of student voice and agency, including in leadership and learning, to strengthen students' participation and engagement in school		- Evolving
Responsive, tiered and contextualised approaches and strong relationships to support student learning, wellbeing and inclusion Effective use of resources and active partnerships with families/carers, specialist providers and community organisations to provide responsive support to students		es and active partnerships with families/carers, community organisations to provide	Evolving
Enter your reflec	ctive comments	These include, finalising and embedding of our schools curriculum documentation to create a g	ome key areas for future work, these are reflected in the above evaluation. quality instructional framework (model), the auditing and updating of the guarantee and viable curriculum (GVC), the need to continue to strengthen teach at each students point of need, develop and embed a culture of

accountability, feedback and high expectations for all, develop and implement evidence-based, school-wide approaches to

	promote well-being based on the SWPBS model, regular attendance and school connectedness and developing students as active and empowered learners (student voice).
Considerations for 2023	In 2023 the School Improvement Team (SIT) supported by DSSI will continue to develop its skills a leaders and change agents, implementing school improvement work, through PL and inquiry based approach to: - Implementing consistent instruction based on the school instructional framework - Building the capacity of middle leaders by continuing to implement an inquiry based PLC model to improve teaching and learning - Deliberately approaching work in a collaborative and transparent way, creating shared and vertically aligned understanding of school improvement work - Auditing and creation of a GVC, with Literacy as a starting point - Beginning the SWPBS implementation journey The above data suggests that 2023 AIP target should remain the same or similar to 2022.
Documents that support this plan	FISO 2 Cont of Prac 2023 - Review.pdf (13.12 MB) Mossfiel Primary School AIP 2023 summary page.docx.pdf (0.06 MB)

Select annual goals and KIS

Four-year strategic goals	Is this selected for focus this year?	Four-year strategic targets	12-month target The 12-month target is an incremental step towards meeting the 4-year target, using the same data set.
In 2023 we will continue to focus on student learning - with an increased focus on numeracy - and student wellbeing through the 2023 Priorities Goal, a learning Key Improvement Strategy and a wellbeing Key Improvement Strategy.	Yes	Support for the 2023 Priorities	Attendance targets:- Reduce the average days of absence per student from 23 days (average of absences 2018-2020) to a maximum of 21 (note SSP target is 19 days)-Reduce the proportion of students with 20+ absence days from 38 percent (average percentage of students in this category the three years 2018-2020) to a maximum of 34% (note SSP target is 25 percent)Parent Opinion Survey targets:Increase the positive endorsement levels of the following variablesStudent agency and voice to at or above 87% (note in 2021 positive endorsement was 85%. SSP target 80%) Promoting positive behaviour to at or above 94% (note 2021 positive endorsement was 94% and SSP target 80%)- School connectedness to at or above 90% (note 2021 result was 90% and SSP target 90%)- Not experiencing bullying to be at or above 60% (note 46% in 2020 and 54% in 2021. SSP target 70%)Attitudes to School Survey targets:Increase the positive endorsement levels of the following variablesSense of connectedness a75% (73% in 2020, 73% in 2021 and SSP target 80%t or above -Student voice and agency to be at or above

			75%. (note 2020 53%, 2021 73% and SSP target 70%)
To maximise the achievement and learning growth of all students in Literacy and Numeracy	Yes	Achievement Targets NAPLAN High Benchmark: Increase the proportion of Year 5 students achieving High Benchmark Growth (these targets may be reviewed once the school's 2021 NAPLAN results are accessible): • Reading from 33% (2019) to greater than 34% (2024) • Writing 20% (2019) to greater that 24% (2024) • Numeracy 26% (2019) to greater than 28% (2024) NAPLAN Below Benchmark: Reduce the below benchmark growth from: • Reading from 19% (2019) to less than 19% (2024) • Writing 22% (2019) to less than 20% (2024) • Writing 22% (2019) to less than 22% (2024) NAPLAN Top Two Bands: Increase the proportion of students achieving in the Top Two Bands (these targets may be reviewed once the school's 2021 NAPLAN results are accessible) Year 3: • Reading from 53% (2019) to greater than 55% (2024) • Writing from 49% (2019) to greater than 50% (2024) • Numeracy from 33% (2019) to greater than 40% (2024) Year 5: • Reading from 21% (2019) to greater than 27% (2024) • Writing from 10% (2019) to greater than 20% (2024) • Writing from 10% (2019) to greater than 20% (2024) • Numeracy from 14% (2019) to greater than 20% (2024) • Numeracy from 14% (2019) to greater than 20% (2024) • Reading and viewing from 77% (2020) to greater than 82% (S2, 2024) • Writing from 70% (2020) to greater than 76% (S2, 2024) • Writing from 70% (2020) to greater than 76% (S2, 2024) • Number and algebra from 73% (2020) to greater than 78% (S2, 2024)	Achievement TargetsNAPLAN High Benchmark:Increase the proportion of Year 5 students achieving High Benchmark Growth:Reading at or above 19% Writing to greater that 21% Numeracy at or above 19% NAPLAN Below Benchmark:Reduce the below benchmark growth from:Reading from 19% (2019) to less than 19% Writing 22% (2019) to less than 20% Numeracy 24% (2019) to less than 22% NAPLAN Top Two Bands:Increase the proportion of students achieving in the Top Two Bands Year 3:Reading at or above 45% (2022 result 44%) Writing at or above 45% (2022 result 29%) Numeracy at or above 35% (2022 result 21%) Year 5:Reading at or above 28% (2022 result 27%) Writing from 10% (2019) to greater than 20% (2022 result 13%) Teacher Judgements Targets – Age-expected Level:By Semester 2 (S2) 2024, increase the proportion of students assessed by teachers as at or above the age-expected levelReading and viewing from 77% (2020) to greater than 80% 2022Writing from 70% (2020) to greater than 73% (2020) to greater than 75% 2022

		School Staff Survey targets: By 2024, increase the positive endorsement levels of the following variables • Academic emphasis (56 percent in 2020) to at least 70 percent • Collective efficacy (62 percent in 2020) to at least 80 percent • Instructional leadership (75 percent in 2020) to at least 80 percent • Teacher collaboration (46 percent in 2020) to at least 75 percent	School Staff Survey targets:Increase the positive endorsement levels of the following variables- Academic emphasis (56 percent in 2020, 56% in 2022) to at least 70 percent in 2023- Collective efficacy (62 percent in 2020 53% 2022) to at least 70% percent in 2023-Instructional leadership (75 percent in 2020 65% 2022) to at least 75 percent in 2023-Teacher collaboration (46 percent in 2020 54% in 2022) to at least 65 percent in 2023
		Attitudes to School Survey targets: By 2024, increase the positive endorsement levels of the following variables • Differentiated learning challenge (81 percent in 2020) to at least 85 percent • Stimulated learning (68 percent in 2020) to at least 75 percent • Teacher concern (68 percent in 2020) to at least 75 percent	Attitudes to School Survey targets:Increase the positive endorsement levels of the following variablesDifferentiated learning challenge (81 percent in 2020, 80% in 2022) to be at or above 85%Stimulated learning (68 percent in 2020, 74% in 2022) to be at or above 80%Teacher concern (68 percent in 2020, 70% in 2022) to be at or above 75%
To maximise students' engagement and wellbeing	No	Attendance targets: By 2024, reduce the average days of absence per student from 23 days (average of absences 2018-2020) to a maximum of 19 days By 2024, reduce the proportion of students with 20+ absence days from 38 percent (average percentage of students in this category the three years 2018-2020) to a maximum of 25 percent	
		Parent Opinion Survey targets: By 2024, increase the positive endorsement levels of the following variables • Student agency and voice (68 percent in 2020) to at least 80 percent • Promoting positive behaviour (73 percent in 2020) to at least 80 percent • School connectedness (80 percent in 2020) to at least 90 percent	

Not experiencing bullying (46 percent in 2020) to at least 70 percent	
Attitudes to School Survey targets: By 2024, increase the positive endorsement levels of the following variables: • Sense of connectedness (73 percent in 2020) to at least 80 percent • Student voice and agency (53 percent in 2020) to at least 70 percent	

Goal 1	2023 Priorities Goal In 2023 we will continue to focus on student learning - with an increased focus on numeracy - and student wellbeing through the 2023 Priorities Goal, a learning Key Improvement Strategy and a wellbeing Key Improvement Strategy.
12-month target 1.1-month target	Attendance targets: Reduce the average days of absence per student from 23 days (average of absences 2018-2020) to a maximum of 21 (note SSP target is 19 days) Reduce the proportion of students with 20+ absence days from 38 percent (average percentage of students in this category the three years 2018-2020) to a maximum of 34% (note SSP target is 25 percent) Parent Opinion Survey targets: Increase the positive endorsement levels of the following variables. Student agency and voice to at or above 87% (note in 2021 positive endorsement was 85%. SSP target 80%). Promoting positive behaviour to at or above 94% (note 2021 positive endorsement was 94% and SSP target 80%) School connectedness to at or above 90% (note 2021 result was 90% and SSP target 90%) Not experiencing bullying to be at or above 60% (note 46% in 2020 and 54% in 2021. SSP target 70%) Attitudes to School Survey targets: Increase the positive endorsement levels of the following variables. Sense of connectedness a75% (73% in 2020, 73% in 2021 and SSP target 80%t or above Student voice and agency to be at or above 75%. (note 2020 53%, 2021 73% and SSP target 70%)

Key Improvement Strategies		Is this KIS selected for focus this year?
KIS 1.a	Learning - Support both those who need scaffolding and those who have thrived to continue to extend their learning, especially in numeracy	Yes
KIS 1.b	Wellbeing - Effectively mobilise available resources to support students' wellbeing and mental health, especially the most vulnerable	Yes
Explain why the school has selected this KIS as a focus for this year. Please make reference to the self-evaluation, relevant school data, the progress against School Strategic Plan (SSP) goals, targets, and the diagnosis of issues requiring particular attention.	Please leave this field empty. Schools are not required to provide a rationale as this is in line	e with system priorities for 2023.
Goal 2	To maximise the achievement and learning growth of all students in Literacy and Numeracy	
12-month target 2.1-month target	Achievement Targets NAPLAN High Benchmark: Increase the proportion of Year 5 students achieving High Benchmark Growth: Reading at or above 19% Writing to greater that 21% Numeracy at or above 19% NAPLAN Below Benchmark: Reduce the below benchmark growth from: Reading from 19% (2019) to less than 19% Writing 22% (2019) to less than 20% Numeracy 24% (2019) to less than 22% NAPLAN Top Two Bands: Increase the proportion of students achieving in the Top Two Bands Year 3: Reading at or above 45% (2022 result 44%)	

Writing at or above 42% (2022 result 29%) Numeracy at or above 35% (2022 result 21%)	
Year 5: Reading at or above 28% (2022 result 27%) Writing from 10% (2019) to greater than 20% (2022 result 18%) Numeracy at or above 20% (2022 result 13%)	
Teacher Judgements Targets – Age-expected Level: By Semester 2 (S2) 2024, increase the proportion of students assessed by teachers as at or	above the age-expected level
Reading and viewing from 77% (2020) to greater than 80% 2022 Writing from 70% (2020) to greater than 72% 2022 Number and algebra from 73% (2020) to greater than 75% 2022	
School Staff Survey targets:	
Increase the positive endorsement levels of the following variables	
 Academic emphasis (56 percent in 2020, 56% in 2022) to at least 70 percent in 2023 Collective efficacy (62 percent in 2020 53% 2022) to at least 70% percent in 2023 Instructional leadership (75 percent in 2020 65% 2022) to at least 75 percent in 2023 Teacher collaboration (46 percent in 2020 54% in 2022) to at least 65 percent in 2023 	
Attitudes to School Survey targets:	
Increase the positive endorsement levels of the following variables	
Differentiated learning challenge (81 percent in 2020, 80% in 2022) to be at or above 85% Stimulated learning (68 percent in 2020, 74% in 2022) to be at or above 80% Teacher concern (68 percent in 2020, 70% in 2022) to be at or above 75%	
	Is this KIS selected for focus this year?
Strengthen all teachers' capacity to use data to teach to student point of need	No
	Numeracy at or above 35% (2022 result 21%) Year 5: Reading at or above 28% (2022 result 27%) Writing from 10% (2019) to greater than 20% (2022 result 18%) Numeracy at or above 20% (2022 result 13%) Teacher Judgements Targets – Age-expected Level: By Semester 2 (S2) 2024, increase the proportion of students assessed by teachers as at or Reading and viewing from 77% (2020) to greater than 80% 2022 Writing from 70% (2020) to greater than 72% 2022 Number and algebra from 73% (2020) to greater than 75% 2022 School Staff Survey targets: Increase the positive endorsement levels of the following variables - Academic emphasis (56 percent in 2020, 56% in 2022) to at least 70 percent in 2023 - Collective efficacy (62 percent in 2020 53% 2022) to at least 70% percent in 2023 - Instructional leadership (75 percent in 2020 65% 2022) to at least 75 percent in 2023 - Teacher collaboration (46 percent in 2020 54% in 2022) to at least 65 percent in 2023 Attitudes to School Survey targets: Increase the positive endorsement levels of the following variables Differentiated learning challenge (81 percent in 2020, 80% in 2022) to be at or above 85% Stimulated learning (68 percent in 2020, 74% in 2022) to be at or above 80% Teacher concern (68 percent in 2020, 70% in 2022) to be at or above 75%

KIS 2.b Excellence in teaching and learning	Develop, document and implement a whole school curriculum plan that ensures a sequential learning journey for students from Foundation to Year 6	Yes
KIS 2.c Excellence in teaching and learning	Embed consistent understanding and implementation of a high-quality, school-wide instructional model	Yes
KIS 2.d Professional leadership	Develop and embed a culture of accountability, feedback and high expectations for all	No
Explain why the school has selected this KIS as a focus for this year. Please make reference to the self-evaluation, relevant school data, the progress against School Strategic Plan (SSP) goals, targets, and the diagnosis of issues requiring particular attention.	Following the school review report and the evaluation of progress in 2022 AIP and towards t identified as priority goals and KIS.	he 2024 SSP, these areas were

Define actions, outcomes, success indicators and activities

Goal 1	2023 Priorities Goal In 2023 we will continue to focus on student learning - with an increased focus on numeracy - and student wellbeing through the 2023 Priorities Goal, a learning Key Improvement Strategy and a wellbeing Key Improvement Strategy.
12-month target 1.1 target	Attendance targets: - Reduce the average days of absence per student from 23 days (average of absences 2018-2020) to a maximum of 21 (note SSP target is 19 days) - Reduce the proportion of students with 20+ absence days from 38 percent (average percentage of students in this category the three years 2018-2020) to a maximum of 34% (note SSP target is 25 percent)
	Parent Opinion Survey targets: Increase the positive endorsement levels of the following variables. - Student agency and voice to at or above 87% (note in 2021 positive endorsement was 85%. SSP target 80%). - Promoting positive behaviour to at or above 94% (note 2021 positive endorsement was 94% and SSP target 80%) - School connectedness to at or above 90% (note 2021 result was 90% and SSP target 90%) - Not experiencing bullying to be at or above 60% (note 46% in 2020 and 54% in 2021. SSP target 70%) Attitudes to School Survey targets:
	Increase the positive endorsement levels of the following variables Sense of connectedness a75% (73% in 2020, 73% in 2021 and SSP target 80%t or above - Student voice and agency to be at or above 75%. (note 2020 53%, 2021 73% and SSP target 70%)
KIS 1.a Priority 2023 Dimension	Learning - Support both those who need scaffolding and those who have thrived to continue to extend their learning, especially in numeracy
Actions	Implementation of the tutor learning initiative - with a focus on numeracy
Outcomes	Student - involved in the TLI clearly articulate learning goals and know what they need to do to achieve their goals Teacher - Identify students learning needs and understand how to collaborate with tutors, leaders and parents

	Leaders (SIT) - Monitor the implementation of impact of the TLI				
Success Indicators	Early indicators Tutor learning plan for the year established Pre-assessment against TLI Implementation Continua TLI PLC agenda/minutes Updated data tracking system - Identify and track students Minutes connecting TLI to PLC's Late indicators Improvement in targeted student data Post-assessment against TLI Implementation Continua Improved Teacher judgements NAPLAN 3 and 5 numeracy results				
Activities		People responsible	Is this a PL priority	When	Activity cost and funding streams
Create a TLI PLC		✓ Disability inclusion coordinator✓ Leading teacher(s)	□ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$49,943.00 Equity funding will be used
Assess program against TLI Implementation Continua		✓ Leadership partners (DSSI)✓ Leading teacher(s)✓ School improvement team	□ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$0.00
Record and track data of students to be supported in the TLI program		☑ Leading teacher(s)	☑ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$0.00
KIS 1.b	Wellbeing - Effectively mobilise available resources to support students' wellbeing and mental health, especially the most vulnerable				

Priority 2023 Dimension					
Actions	Implementation of the three tiered Disability Inclusion (DI) Model				
Outcomes	Students - requiring Tier 3 adjustments will clearly articulate learning goals and know what they need to do to achieve their goals Teachers - will provide feedback as part of the audit of current Disability Inclusion practices - will have a shared understanding of who required Tier 3 adjustments and how to record and administer them Leaders (DI PLC) - will lead the auditing of current Disability Inclusion practices against the Disability Inclusion maturity matrix - will have a shared and deep understanding of requirements of three tired Disability Inclusion Model, the DIP and where MPS current practices fit into this model - SWPBS as a priority - will collaboratively work with teachers in the development of shared understanding of who required Tier 3 adjustments and how to record and administer them (IEP & SSG)				
Success Indicators	Early indicators Identify key stakeholders and create DI PLC Minutes from DI PLC Documented audit of Disability Inclusion maturity matrix Established data tracing for tiered adjustments Teacher feedback surveys Process for SSG and IEP established Late Indicators Minutes from DI PLC Documented end of year review of Disability Inclusion maturity matrix Established data tracing for tiered adjustments SSG and IEP for Tier 3 adjustments				
Activities		People responsible	Is this a PL priority	When	Activity cost and funding streams

Creation of Disability Inclusion PL Key stake holders - Leader - Mental health and Well-being Le - Social Worker - SIT member - Supporting ES		 ✓ Disability inclusion coordinator ✓ Leading teacher(s) ✓ Principal ✓ Wellbeing team 	☑ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$217,000.00 Disability Inclusion Tier 2 Funding will be used
Assess MPS against the Disability Inclusion maturity matrix Include: Disability Inclusion Profile Transition PSD students to DI process		✓ Disability inclusion coordinator✓ Principal✓ Wellbeing team	□ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 2	\$0.00
Establish written process for adjustments through SSG and IEP		✓ Disability inclusion coordinator✓ Wellbeing team	□ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$0.00
Establish data tracing for tiered adjustments		✓ Disability inclusion coordinator✓ Wellbeing team	□ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$0.00
Create team to research SWPBS and it connection to DI at MPS		☑ Leading teacher(s)	□ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$12,000.00 Equity funding will be used
Goal 2	To maximise the achievement and learning growth of all students in Literacy and Numeracy				
12-month target 2.1 target	Achievement Targets NAPLAN High Benchmark: Increase the proportion of Year 5 students achieving High Benchmark Growth:				

	Reading at or above 19%
	Writing to greater that 21%
	Numeracy at or above 19%
	NAPLAN Below Benchmark:
	Reduce the below benchmark growth from:
	Reading from 19% (2019) to less than 19%
	Writing 22% (2019) to less than 20%
	Numeracy 24% (2019) to less than 22%
	NAPLAN Top Two Bands:
	Increase the proportion of students achieving in the Top Two Bands
	Year 3:
	Reading at or above 45% (2022 result 44%)
	Writing at or above 42% (2022 result 44%)
	Numeracy at or above 35% (2022 result 21%)
	1 variorably at or above 60% (2022 room 21%)
	Year 5:
	Reading at or above 28% (2022 result 27%)
	Writing from 10% (2019) to greater than 20% (2022 result 18%)
	Numeracy at or above 20% (2022 result 13%)
	Teacher Judgements Targets – Age-expected Level:
	By Semester 2 (S2) 2024, increase the proportion of students assessed by teachers as at or above the age-expected level
	Reading and viewing from 77% (2020) to greater than 80% 2022
	Writing from 70% (2020) to greater than 72% 2022
	Number and algebra from 73% (2020) to greater than 75% 2022
12-month target 2.2 target	School Staff Survey targets:
	Increase the positive endorsement levels of the following variables
	- Academic emphasis (56 percent in 2020, 56% in 2022) to at least 70 percent in 2023
	- Collective efficacy (62 percent in 2020 53% 2022) to at least 70% percent in 2023

	- Instructional leadership (75 percent in 2020 65% 2022) to at least 75 percent in 2023 - Teacher collaboration (46 percent in 2020 54% in 2022) to at least 65 percent in 2023
12-month target 2.3 target	Attitudes to School Survey targets: Increase the positive endorsement levels of the following variables Differentiated learning challenge (81 percent in 2020, 80% in 2022) to be at or above 85% Stimulated learning (68 percent in 2020, 74% in 2022) to be at or above 80% Teacher concern (68 percent in 2020, 70% in 2022) to be at or above 75%
KIS 2.b Curriculum planning and assessment	Develop, document and implement a whole school curriculum plan that ensures a sequential learning journey for students from Foundation to Year 6
Actions	Audit and review our current curriculum documentation, with the plan to development a sequential learning journey for students from Foundation to Year 6
Outcomes	Students - are able to articulate what they would like to learn and how they would like to learn it - will understand where they're at in their learning and their next step (Student Voice/Agency) Teachers - will audit current curriculum documentation to identify school needs - will collaboratively engage in the development of curriculum documentation - will have a shared understanding of how to use curriculum documentation to support planning (Purpose, language, content, structure) Leaders - will lead the auditing of current curriculum documentation to identify school needs - will collaboratively work with teachers in the development of curriculum documentation - will have a shared and deep understanding of curriculum documentation (purpose, language, content, structure, implementation)
Success Indicators	Early indicators Professional Learning Plan for the year

	SIT agenda/minutes Feedback from staff and students Documentation of GVC overview Staff feedback via survey Late indicators Staff Opinion Survey results linker Staff Opinion Survey results linker Staff Opinion Survey results linker GVC documentation PLC minutes showing planning of Staff survey (inhouse) regarding O	plan d to GVC d to Teacher Collaboration d to Collective Efficacy			
A - 41- 141		B	la di la a Di	VAII.	A - 4 - 4

Activities	People responsible	Is this a PL priority	When	Activity cost and funding streams
SIT to engage with DSSI Leadership Partners to audit and develop an action plan to create a shared understanding of curriculum plans and GVC (includes audit and action plan for engaging staff in review of curriculum documents)	✓ Leadership partners (DSSI)✓ School improvement team	□ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 2	\$0.00
SIT to engage with DSSI Leadership Partners to develop and implement of a highly effective School Improvement Team.	✓ Leadership partners (DSSI) ✓ School improvement team	☑ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$99,886.00 ☐ Equity funding will be used
SIT to engage with DSSI Leadership Partners to collaboratively create and document GVC (includes literacy as a starting point)	✓ All staff ✓ Leadership partners (DSSI) ✓ School improvement team	☐ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$0.00

KIS 2.c

Embed consistent understanding and implementation of a high-quality, school-wide instructional model

Evidence-based high-impact teaching strategies	
Actions	Embed consistent understanding and implementation of a high-quality, school-wide instructional framework with a focus on Feedback
Outcomes	Students will - understand the purpose of one to one feedback and how it supports their learning. - reflect on and action the feedback provided to support their learning. Teachers will - develop a deep understanding and implement effective feedback practices Leaders will - develop a deep understanding of identified effective feedback practices - develop and lead a professional learning plan that supports staff to build their capacity to implement effective feedback practices.
Success Indicators	Early indicators Professional Learning Plan for the year SIT minutes PLC Minutes Professional Reading (unpacking) Collect data to monitor IF impact from: Student - Survey, focus groups, interviews, learning data (teacher judgements, Essential Assessment, FnP, PAT, ect) Teacher - Self reflection, Peer Observation, Goals, monitor Leader - Learning walks, coaching, Positive due to good practice Late Indicators SIT minutes PLC Minutes SSS -(Collective Efficacy, Instructional Leadership) Professional Reading Collect data to monitor IF impact from: Student - Survey, focus groups, interviews, learning data (NAPLAN, teacher judgements, Essential Assessment, FnP, PAT, ect)

cher - Self reflection, Peer Observ ler - Learning walks, coaching, Po	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Activities	People responsible	Is this a PL priority	When	Activity cost and funding streams
Engage DSSI Leadership Partners to support the SIT in the implementation of a highly effective Instructional Model - Planing and delivery of PL to support the agreed MPS instructional model - Embedding work on introduction and reflection (Self reflection, Peer Observation, Goals, monitor) - Focus whole school learning on feedback	 ✓ All staff ✓ Leadership partners (DSSI) ✓ PLC leaders ✓ School improvement team 	☑ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$99,886.00 ☐ Equity funding will be used
Engage DSSI Leadership Partners to support the implementation of a highly effective inquiry based PLC leadership program and PLC inquiry Cycle Implementation - PLC meeting weekly/leaders released weekly - PLC leaders day – developing norms and protocols and the inquiry cycle - Develop a PLC inquire model specific to MPS	 ✓ All staff ✓ Assistant principal ✓ Leadership partners (DSSI) ✓ PLC leaders ✓ Principal 	□ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$15,000.00 ☐ Equity funding will be used
Introduce a coaching program to support Instructional Framework implementation - Learning walks - Coaching - Positive due to good practice	✓ All staff ✓ Assistant principal ✓ Leadership partners (DSSI) ✓ Leading teacher(s) ✓ Principal	☑ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$124,858.00 ☐ Equity funding will be used

Funding planner

Summary of budget and allocated funding

Summary of budget	School's total funding (\$)	Funding allocated in activities (\$)	Still available/shortfall
Equity Funding	\$752,080.96	\$619,943.00	\$132,137.96
Disability Inclusion Tier 2 Funding	\$223,091.30	\$217,000.00	\$6,091.30
Schools Mental Health Fund and Menu	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total	\$975,172.26	\$836,943.00	\$138,229.26

Activities and milestones – Total Budget

Activities and milestones	Budget
Create a TLI PLC	\$49,943.00
Creation of Disability Inclusion PLC	\$217,000.00
Key stake holders - Leader - Mental health and Well-being Leader - Social Worker - SIT member - Supporting ES	
Create team to research SWPBS and it connection to DI at MPS	\$12,000.00
SIT to engage with DSSI Leadership Partners to develop and implement of a highly effective School Improvement Team.	\$99,886.00
Engage DSSI Leadership Partners to support the SIT in the implementation of a highly effective Instructional Model - Planing and delivery of PL to support the agreed MPS	\$99,886.00

instructional model - Embedding work on introduction and reflection (Self reflection, Peer Observation, Goals, monitor) - Focus whole school learning on feedback	
Engage DSSI Leadership Partners to support the implementation of a highly effective inquiry based PLC leadership program and PLC inquiry Cycle Implementation - PLC meeting weekly/leaders released weekly - PLC leaders day – developing norms and protocols and the inquiry cycle - Develop a PLC inquire model specific to MPS	\$15,000.00
Introduce a coaching program to support Instructional Framework implementation - Learning walks - Coaching - Positive due to good practice	\$124,858.00
Totals	\$618,573.00

Activities and milestones - Equity Funding

Activities and milestones	When	Funding allocated (\$)	Category
Create a TLI PLC	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$49,943.00	✓ School-based staffing ✓ CRT
Create team to research SWPBS and it connection to DI at MPS	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$12,000.00	☑ Professional development (excluding CRT costs and new FTE) ☑ CRT
SIT to engage with DSSI Leadership Partners to develop	from: Term 1	\$100,000.00	☑ School-based staffing

and implement of a highly effective School Improvement Team.	to: Term 4		
Engage DSSI Leadership Partners to support the SIT in the implementation of a highly effective Instructional Model - Planing and delivery of PL to support the agreed MPS instructional model - Embedding work on introduction and reflection (Self reflection, Peer Observation, Goals, monitor) - Focus whole school learning on feedback	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$100,000.00	☑ School-based staffing
Engage DSSI Leadership Partners to support the implementation of a highly effective inquiry based PLC leadership program and PLC inquiry Cycle Implementation - PLC meeting weekly/leaders released weekly - PLC leaders day – developing norms and protocols and the inquiry cycle - Develop a PLC inquire model specific to MPS	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$15,000.00	☑ School-based staffing
Introduce a coaching program to support Instructional Framework implementation - Learning walks - Coaching - Positive due to good practice	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$125,000.00	☑ School-based staffing
Totals		\$401,943.00	

Activities and milestones - Disability Inclusion Funding

Activities and milestones	When	Funding allocated (\$)	Category
Creation of Disability Inclusion PLC Key stake holders - Leader - Mental health and Well-being Leader - Social Worker - SIT member - Supporting ES	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$217,000.00	 ✓ Education workforces and/or assigning existing school staff to inclusive education duties Disability inclusion coordinator Education support staff Other Administration - ES ✓ Other workforces to support students with disability Social workers
Totals		\$217,000.00	

Activities and milestones - Schools Mental Health Fund and Menu

Activities and milestones	When	Funding allocated (\$)	Category
Totals		\$0.00	

Additional funding planner – Total Budget

Activities and milestones	Budget
Employment of a dedicated attendance office	\$53,000.00

Professional Development PLC	\$45.00
CRT Replacement for PL	\$300,000.00
ES support DI	\$90.00
Totals	\$353,135.00

Additional funding planner – Equity Funding

Activities and milestones	When	Funding allocated (\$)	Category
Employment of a dedicated attendance office	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$53,000.00	☑ School-based staffing
Professional Development PLC	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$45,000.00	☑ Professional development (excluding CRT costs and new FTE)
CRT Replacement for PL	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$30,000.00	☑ CRT
ES support DI	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$90,000.00	☑ School-based staffing
Totals		\$218,000.00	

Additional funding planner – Disability Inclusion Funding

Activities and milestones	When	Funding allocated (\$)	Category
Employment of a dedicated attendance office	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$0.00	
Professional Development PLC	from: Term 1 to: Term 4		
CRT Replacement for PL	from: Term 1 to: Term 4		
ES support DI	from: Term 1 to: Term 4		
Totals		\$0.00	

Additional funding planner – Schools Mental Health Fund and Menu

Activities and milestones	When	Funding allocated (\$)	Category
Employment of a dedicated attendance office	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$0.00	
Professional Development PLC	from: Term 1		

	to: Term 4		
CRT Replacement for PL	from: Term 1 to: Term 4		
ES support DI	from: Term 1 to: Term 4		
Totals		\$0.00	

Professional learning plan

Professional learning priority	Who	When	Key professional learning strategies	Organisational structure	Expertise accessed	Where
Record and track data of students to be supported in the TLI program	☑ Leading teacher(s)	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	✓ Planning✓ Preparation✓ Formalised PLC/PLTs	✓ Communities of practice ✓ PLC/PLT meeting	✓ Leadership partners✓ Student achievementManager	☑ On-site
Creation of Disability Inclusion PLC Key stake holders - Leader - Mental health and Wellbeing Leader - Social Worker - SIT member - Supporting ES	☑ Disability inclusion coordinator ☑ Leading teacher(s) ☑ Principal ☑ Wellbeing team	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	✓ Planning✓ Preparation✓ Formalised PLC/PLTs	 ✓ Formal school meeting / internal professional learning sessions ✓ Communities of practice ✓ PLC/PLT meeting 	✓ Leadership partners✓ Internal staff✓ Student achievement Manager	☑ On-site
SIT to engage with DSSI Leadership Partners to develop and implement of a highly effective School Improvement Team.	✓ Leadership partners (DSSI) ✓ School improvement team	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	✓ Planning ✓ Collaborative inquiry/action research team ✓ Formalised PLC/PLTs	☑ Timetabled planning day ☑ PLC/PLT meeting	☑ Leadership partners	☑ On-site
Engage DSSI Leadership Partners to support the SIT in the implementation of a highly effective Instructional	☑ All staff	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	✓ Planning ✓ Collaborative inquiry/action research team	☑ PLC/PLT meeting	✓ VCAA curriculum specialist✓ Leadership partners	☑ On-site

Model - Planing and delivery of PL to support the agreed MPS instructional model - Embedding work on introduction and reflection (Self reflection, Peer Observation, Goals, monitor) - Focus whole school learning on feedback	✓ Leadership partners (DSSI) ✓ PLC leaders ✓ School improvement team		☑ Formalised PLC/PLTs			
Introduce a coaching program to support Instructional Framework implementation - Learning walks - Coaching - Positive due to good practice	✓ All staff ✓ Assistant principal ✓ Leadership partners (DSSI) ✓ Leading teacher(s) ✓ Principal	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	☑ Planning ☑ Preparation	☑ PLC/PLT meeting	 ☑ Leadership partners ☑ Academy program/course ☑ High Impact Teaching Strategies (HITS) 	☑ On-site